

CARY M. ADAMS, SBN 103359
GEOFFREY A. GOODMAN, SBN 073355
RANDALL J. HAKES, SBN 233548
MURPHY AUSTIN ADAMS SCHOENFELD LLP
1000 G Street, Third Floor (95814)
Post Office Box 1319
Sacramento, California 95812-1319
Telephone: (916) 446-2300
Facsimile: (916) 503-4000
Email: cadams@murphyaustin.com
Email: ggoodman@murphyaustin.com
Email: rhakes@murphyaustin.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants
THE COUNTY OF MARIN AND THE COUNTY
OF TUOLUMNE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

GLADWELL GOVERNMENTAL
SERVICES, INC., a California
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF MARIN, etc., et al.,

Defendants.

COUNTY OF MARIN, a legal subdivision
of the State of California; COUNTY OF
TUOLUMNE, a legal subdivision of the
State of California,

Counterclaimants,

v.

GLADWELL GOVERNMENTAL
SERVICES, INC., a California
corporation, and DIANE GLADWELL, in
her individual capacity,

Counterdefendants.


Case No. C-04-3332 SBA

**ORDER OF ENTRY OF FINAL
JUDGMENT**

1 Plaintiff filed a complaint against defendants in or about August of 2004. Defendants
2 answered the complaint, filed a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of
3 Civil Procedure and asserted nine counterclaims of their own. On March 7, 2005, the Court
4 granted the defendants' motion to dismiss and ordered the complaint dismissed for lack of
5 standing. On October 18, 2005, the Court denied defendants' motion for partial summary
6 judgment and ordered defendant/counterclaimants' first, fourth and fifth counterclaims be
7 dismissed as moot under its March 7, 2005 order. On October 24, 2005, the counterclaimants and
8 counterdefendants stipulated to a voluntary dismissal of the remaining counterclaims (the second,
9 third, sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth counterclaims) and agreed that the dismissal of all
10 counterclaims are without prejudice. Accordingly, all of the claims asserted by the parties have
11 been decided by this Court or voluntarily dismissed. There remains no further claims for this
12 court to decide.

13 THEREFORE, THIS COURT HEREBY ORDERS this matter finally adjudicated and that
14 an entry of final judgment be entered on the docket reflecting as much.

15 DATE: 10/28/05


16 SANDRA B. ARMSTRONG
17 JUDGE FOR THE UNITED STATES
18 DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
19 CALIFORNIA
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28